Case||19-30088

1646058v2

Doc# 8378

Filed: 07/14/20

28

Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 1 of

Nos. 97052, 97055) on February 26, 2020, which are attached hereto as Exhibits 3 and 4. This Motion is based upon the points and authorities set forth herein and the concurrently filed Notice of Hearing and Declaration of Richard Frankel ("Frankel Decl.") in support of the Motion, in addition to any evidence or oral argument presented at the time of any hearing on this matter. In support thereof, the Matas, by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully represent as follows:

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The general bar date in these cases was October 21, 2019 ("Original Bar Date"). The process for submission of timely claims has continued after the Original Bar Date. Pursuant to the Stipulation Between Debtors and Official Committee of Tort Claimants to Extend Bar Date for Fire Claimants and for Appointment of Claims Representative (Dkt# 4651), the Original Bar Date was extended for the benefit of Unfiled Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. (Prevailing Pacific Time). The Debtors' chapter 11 plan ("Plan") was confirmed by court order on June 20, 2020, and pursuant to the Notice of Effective Date, the Plan became effective as of July 1, 2020.

This Motion concerns the amendment of claims of a family of fire victims. The entire family suffered losses as a result of the Camp Fire. Now, the Matas seek to enlarge the time (if necessary) so that their children Minor 1, Minor 2, and Minor 3, who are all minors, are appropriately included in the family claim.

Application of the so-called *Pioneer* factors shows that Movants' "late" filing is the result of excusable neglect and therefore permissible under Rule 9006(b)(1). As to the first factor, prejudice, the amended claims include the Mata's minor children, who were inadvertently not included with the original claims. The Debtors' Plan has been confirmed and the associated Fire Victim Trust funded, so the inclusion of the minor children in Mata's amended claims in the pool of fire victim claims will have no impact at all on the Debtors or the bankruptcy estates. As to the second *Pioneer* factor, the Matas' delay in filing the amended claims and any resultant impact on these proceedings are exceedingly modest and immaterial for the same reasons that Debtors will not be prejudiced. As to the third *Pioneer* factor, the reason for the delay and whether it was in

19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 2 of

Case:

1646058v2

Movant's reasonable control, the reason for the delay was ministerial and the original claims were filed by counsel with information provided by their clients. Promptly after realizing that there were other minor children in the family, counsel filed the Amended Proof of Claims on February 26, 2020. This inquiry demonstrates the Matas' good faith, satisfying the fourth *Pioneer* factor. Because consideration of the *Pioneer* factors points overwhelmingly to the Matas' neglect (if any) having been excusable, late filing of the amended proof of claims should be permitted.

Alternatively, the Ninth Circuit takes a liberal approach to the amendment of proofs of claim, allowing late claims to relate back to timely ones if the claims are of the same origin. Since the Amended Proofs of Claim here simply correct the omission of the minor Mata children, they have the same origin as the original claim. And since granting the requested relief would not prejudice Debtors, this Court should deem the Amended Proofs of Claim to relate back.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are Rules 7015 and 9006(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) allows the enlargement of time for "an act . . . required or allowed to be done at or within a specified period . . .by order of court." Rule 9006(b)(1) further provides:

[T]he court for cause shown may at any time in its discretion (1) with or without motion or notice order the period enlarged if the request therefor is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by a previous order or (2) on motion made after the expiration of the specified period permit the act to be done where the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect.

Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1). "Excusable neglect" under Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) is a flexible concept and case law has identified a four non-exclusive factors to be considered:

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 3 of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With regard to determining whether a party's neglect of a deadline is excusable . . . we conclude that the determination is at bottom an equitable one, taking account of all relevant circumstances surrounding the party's omission. These include . . . [1] the danger of prejudice to the [nonmovant], [2] the length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings, [3] the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, and [4] whether the movant acted in good faith.

Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. Partnership, 507 U.S. 380, 395, 113 S.Ct. 1489, 1498 (1993) (citations omitted); see also In re Orthopedic Bone Screw Prods. Liability Litig., 246 F.3d 315, 323 (3d Cir. 2001) (citing Pioneer, 507 U.S. at 395). Rule 9006(b)(1) allows "late filings caused by inadvertence, mistake, or carelessness, not just those caused by intervening circumstances beyond the party's control." Pioneer, 507 U.S. at 381.

In Pioneer, a creditor represented by experienced bankruptcy counsel missed the proof of claim deadline because his lawyer overlooked the filing date in the bankruptcy court's notice. The Supreme Court affirmed the Sixth Circuit's finding of excusable neglect and endorsed a balancing test, the hallmark of which is consideration of various factors to aid in determining whether a movant's neglect of a bar date was excusable, thereby justifying a late proof of claim filing. This equitable determination is to "tak[e] account of all relevant circumstances surrounding the party's omission." Id. at 395, 113 S. Ct. at 1498; see also Corning v. Corning (In re Zilog, Inc.), 450 F.3d 996 (9th Cir. 2006) (noting Pioneer's non-exhaustive list of relevant factors). Consideration of all four Pioneer factors—as well as a fifth engrafted onto the Pioneer analysis by some courtssupports the conclusion that the exclusion of all the minor children in the original claim was excusable.

Because in this case there is no danger of prejudice to the Debtors, the first Pioneer factor weighs overwhelmingly in Movants' favor. For starters, Ninth Circuit courts regularly grant late amendments to timely proofs of claim like the ones at issue here. See, e.g., In re Roberts Farms Inc., 980 F.2d 1248, 1251-1252 (9th Cir. 1992) ("The decision to allow an amendment to a timely filed proof of claim is within the sound discretion of the bankruptcy court;" holding that the bankruptcy court did not abuse its discretion in allowing late amendment of claim when amendment merely clarified claim without changing nature of claim or amount sought); In re

Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 4 of Case: 119-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case:

Sambo's Restaurants, Inc., 754 F.2d 811, 816-17 (9th Cir. 1985) ("In the absence of prejudice to an opposing party, the bankruptcy courts, as courts of equity, should freely allow amendments to proofs of claim that relate back to the filing date of the informal claim when the purpose is to cure a defect in the claim as filed or to describe the claim with greater particularity. . . . [Claimant] is not seeking to introduce a new claim in disguise Thus, the bankruptcy court abused its discretion in disallowing the amendment in this case."); In re JSJF Corp., 344 B.R. 94, 102 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2006), aff'd and remanded, 277 F. App'x 718 (9th Cir. 2008) ("[P]rejudice requires more than simply having to litigate the merits of, or to pay, a claim—there must be some legal detriment to the party opposing."); In re Parrott Broad. Ltd. P'ship, 518 B.R. 602, 609 (Bankr. D. Idaho 2014) ("The burden of showing prejudice is on the party objecting to the amendment."); In re Gordian Med., Inc., 499 B.R. 793, 801 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2013) (allowing post-deadline amendment of timely original proof of claim when all Pioneer factors favored movant except for movant's delay, and suggesting that no showing of excusable neglect is even required when post-deadline amendments assert claims "of the same generic origin"); In re Nucorp Energy, Inc., 52 B.R. 843, 846 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1985) ("This Court recognizes the long established liberal policy toward amendment of proofs of claim.").

Other courts would agree, since the amendment was "timely" in that it came well before the Plan was confirmed and the fire victim trust funded. *See, e.g., In re O'Brien Envtl. Energy, Inc.*, 188 F.3d 116, 128 (3d Cir. 1999) (overruling bankruptcy court's finding of prejudice when allowing a late claim would not require disgorgement to paid creditors and the claim could not jeopardize the debtor's recovery since debtor was a "large, successful company with annual revenues and earnings in the millions"); *In re Best Payphones, Inc.*, 523 B.R. 54, 75-6 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2015) (citing Scott I. Davidson & Jennifer A. Bender, *Late-Filed Claims are not Always Excluded from the Distribution Party*, AM. BANKR. INST. J. 16, 62 (Jan. 2014)) (where unsecured creditors will be made whole, "the debtor will not be able to object to a proof of claim solely on the grounds that the proof of claim was filed after the bar date"); *In re Garden Ridge Corp.*, 348 B.R. 642, 646 (Bankr. D. Del. 2006) (finding no prejudice in late claim when payout of the claim would be via preferred stock and would not require any disgorgement of funds already

9-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 5 of

1646058v2

)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paid out even if it might have affected the amount of preferred stock ultimately available to other creditors).

Finally, any prospect of prejudice is especially unlikely here because of the form of Debtors' Plan, which provided for a lump-sum payment for the benefit of fire victims. Adding or subtracting claims from the totals does not affect that formulation of the Plan, or in any real way affect the estate. While the Trust has recently been funded, distributions from the Trust have not been made, as the Trustee and his professionals and team are in the process of formulating claims resolution calculations and procedures. This motion is filed in an abundance of caution to ensure the minor children are all explicitly provided for in the family's proofs of claim. The amended claims were filed before the plan was confirmed and the trust funded, so the Mata's have every reason to believe the amended claims are included in the Trustee's claims database, and are simply requesting a formal order to ensure that is the case

Consideration of the second Pioneer factor, the length of the delay and its potential impact on these proceedings, also strongly favors the Matas. Here, although the claims bar date has passed, there is no substantive impact on these proceedings and the administration of this case. See In re Lyondell Chemical Co., 543 B.R. 400, 410 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2016) (length of delay is only given meaning by its effect on the administration of the case). The amendments if permitted by the Court, would have little to no appreciable impact on these proceedings. Moreover, the amended filing occurred well before Plan confirmation and when the Plan became effective.

As to the third *Pioneer* factor, the reason for the delay and whether it was in Movants' reasonable control, again leans in favor of excusability. Movants acknowledges this Court's observation, in 2004, that "[o]n balance, ... the authorities construing Pioneer weigh the reasons for the delay factor most heavily." In re Pacific Gas & Electric, Co., 311 B.R. 84, 91 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2004) (citing Graphic Communications Int'l Union, Local 12-N v. Quebecor Printing Providence, Inc., 270 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2001)). A "satisfactory explanation for the late filing" is

Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 6 of 1646058v2

26

28

Case

27

That said, the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits and courts in at least two other circuits (the Third and the Eighth) have identified the danger of prejudice is the most important of the Pioneer factors. See Greyhound Lines, Inc. v. Rogers (In re Eagle Bus Mfg., Inc.), 62 F.3d 730, 737 (5th Cir. 1995)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

required. Graphic Communications at 5.

The reason for the delay was simply a lack of communicated information between the Matas and their counsel. As soon as their counsel became fully informed, he filed the Amended Proofs of Claim. Finally, as to the fourth Pioneer factor, no reasonable suggestion can be made that Movants failed to act in good faith.

It is also critical to note that an order granting this Motion is of course without prejudice to the rights of relevant parties (the Trustee of the Fire Victim Trust for example) to object to the Matas' claims on substantive or legal grounds, other than timeliness.

Alternatively, the court has authority under Fed. R. Bank. P. 7015 to enter an order providing that the amended claims relate back to the originally-filed claims. Rule 7015 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure incorporates Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15. Rule 15(c) applies to amendments of claims that relate back to the time of original filing. Rule 7015 applies to the amendment of proofs of claims. See, e.g., In re Roberts Farms Inc., 980 F.2d 1248, 1251 (9th Cir. 1992) (citing Rule 7015 in support of a "liberal policy that permits amendments to a proof of claim"); In re Pac. Gas & Elec. Co., 311 B.R. 84, 89 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2004) ("[T]he court concludes that the Amended [Proofs of] Claim[] do not sufficiently relate to the Original[s]. .. for purposes of F.R.C.P. 15(c)."); In re Edwards Theatres Circuit, Inc., 281 B.R. 675, 681 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2002); In re Circle K Corp., 165 B.R. 649, 652 (Bankr. D. Ariz. 1994) ("A claim filed after the bar date qualifies as an amendment to a timely claim, if both are of the same generic origin.") Absent evidence of prejudice from substitution, this Court should allow amendment in the interests of justice. The minor Mata children should be represented in Movants' proofs of claim as reflected in the Amended Proofs of Claim.

23

25

26

27

28

Filed: 07/14/20 Case: 119-30088 Doc# 8378 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 7 of

1646058v2

^{(&}quot;Under Pioneer, the central inquiry is whether the debtor will be prejudiced."); Advanced Estimating System, Inc. v. Riney, 77 F.3d 1322, 1325 (11th Cir. 1996) ("Primary importance should be accorded to the absence of prejudice to the nonmoving party and to the interest of efficient judicial administration.); In re Cable & Wireless USA, Inc., 338 B.R. 609, 614 (Bankr. D. Del. 2006) (quoting In re Tannen Towers Acquisition Corp., 235 B.R. 748, 755 (D.N.J. 1999) ("In applying the Pioneer test, courts place the greatest weight on whether any prejudice to the other parties will occur by allowing a late claim."); Matter of Papp Intern., Inc., 189 B.R. 939, 944 (Bankr. D. Neb. 1995) (citing In re Sacred Heart Hos. of Norristown, 186 B.R. 891 as suggesting "the most significant [Pioneer] factor ... is that of prejudice to the debtor.").

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Movants respectfully requests that this Court enter an order pursuant to either Bankruptcy Rule 7015 and/or 9006(b)(1) as follows:

- 1. Granting this Motion;
- Directing that the Proofs of Claim attached as Exhibits 3 and 4 hereto be deemed 2. timely filed;
 - Granting such other or further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 3.

DATED: July 14, 2020

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

By: /s/ Jamie P. Dreher JAMIE P. DREHER Attorneys for Jose and Karen Mata

Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 8 of Case: 19-30088 1646058v2

28

EXHIBIT 1

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 9 of

28

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,
- and -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Debtors.

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11 (Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

Proof of Claim (Fire Claim Related)

Read the instructions before filing this claim form. This form is for tort claimants who have a claim against the Debtors (i.e. PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company) that arose prior to the Debtors filing for bankruptcy (i.e. prior to January 29, 2019) and that arose from, or relates to, a fire.

Do not use this form for non-fire claims. Non-fire tort claimants should use Form 410.

Do NOT file a fraudulent claim. A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Please type or print in the spaces below. Do NOT use red ink or pencil.

ŀ	art 1: Identify the Cla	aim	
1.	Who is the current creditor?	Jose Mata Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this clair	m)
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	No ☐ Yes. From whom?	
3.	Are you filing this claim on behalf of your family? A family is a group of two or more people related by birth, marriage, domestic partnership, or adoption and residing together. All such people are considered as members of one family.	No If you checked "Yes", please provide the behalf of: ☐ Yes	ne full name of each family member that you are filing on
4.	Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	Where should notices to the creditor be sent?	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different) Name
	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Name	Attorney Name (if applicable) Eric J. Ratinoff Attorney Bar Number (if applicable) 166204 Street Address 401 Watt Avenue City Sacramento State CA Zip Code 95864 Phone Number 916-970-9100
5.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	No Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known)	Filed on
6.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	No Yes. Who made the earlier filing?	

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

Page 1

Claim Number: 32215 Date Filed: 10/17/2019 Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 10

of 28

	art 2: Give Infor	nation /	About the Claim as of the Date this Claim Form is Filed
7	What fire is the basis	of 🛛	Camp Fire (2018)
	your claim?		North Bay Fires (2017)
	Check all that apply.		Ghost Ship Fire (2016)
			Butte Fire (2015)
			Other (please provide date and brief description of fire:
8.	What are the loss focation(s) where you and/or your family suffered harm? (e.g. home or business address, place of injuplace from which you were evacuated, if	ıry,	Location(s) 1867 Honeyrun Rd, Chico CA 95928
9.	different.? How were you and/or your family harmed?	X	damage)
	Check all that apply		☑ Owner ☐ Renter ☑ Occupant ☐ Other (Please specify):
	Check all that apply	X	, -,,-,,,,,,,
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		X	
		X	
_		<u> </u>	
10	. What damages are yo and/or your family	ou X	Economic damages (including replacement cost of damaged property, diminution in value, loss of use, lost inventory, lost profits, and other economic damage)
	claiming/seeking?	X	Non-economic damages (including loss of society and support, loss of consortium, pain and suffering, emotional distress, annoyance and discomfort, and other non-economic damage)
	Check all that apply	X	Punitive, exemplary, and statutory damages
		X	Attorney's fees and litigation costs
		X	Interest
		X	Any and all other damages recoverable under California law
			Other (Please specify):
11	. How much is the clai		
			V-T
		X	Unknown / To be determined at a later date

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

Page 2

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 11 of 28

Part 3: Sign Below

The person completing	Che	ck the appropria	te box:			
this proof of claim must sign and date it.		I am the credito	r .			
FRBP 9011(b).	X	I am the credito	r's attorney or au	thorized agent.		
If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts		ve examined the correct.	information in thi	s <i>Proof of Claim</i> and have a reas	sonable beli	ef that the information is true
to establish local rules	I ded	clare under pena	Ity of perjury that	the foregoing is true and correct		
specifying what a signature is.	Exe	cuted on date	10/08/2019	_(mm/dd/yyyy)		
A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.						
	Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:					
		e R	ussell			Reiner
			rst name	Middle name		Last name
		<u>L</u>	awyer			
	Company Reiner Slaughter & Frankel					
		Id	entify the corporate	servicer as the company if the author	ized agent is	a servicer.
		ress 2	851 Park Marin	a Dr, Suite 200		
			umber Str	eet		
		D	oddina		CA	96001

530-241-1905

Contact phone

ZIP Code

rreiner@reinerslaughter.com

State

Email

EXHIBIT 2

of 28

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,
- and PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

Debtors.

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

Proof of Claim (Fire Claim Related)

Read the instructions before filing this claim form. This form is for tort claimants who have a claim against the Debtors (i.e. PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company) that arose prior to the Debtors filing for bankruptcy (i.e. prior to January 29, 2019) and that arose from, or relates to, a fire.

Do not use this form for non-fire claims. Non-fire tort claimants should use Form 410.

Do NOT file a fraudulent claim. A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Please type or print in the spaces below. Do NOT use red ink or pencil.

P	art 1: Identify the Cl	aim	
1.	Who is the current creditor?	Karen Mata Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this cla	im)
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	☐ Yes. From whom?	
3.	Are you filing this claim on behalf of your family? A family is a group of two or more people related by birth, marriage, domestic partnership, or adoption and residing together. All such people are considered as members of one family.	behalf of:	he full name of each family member that you are filing on
4.	Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	Where should notices to the creditor be sent? Name Reiner Slaughter & Frankel	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different) Name Eric Ratinoff Law Corp Client Trust Account
	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Attorney Name (if applicable) Russell Reiner Attorney Bar Number (if applicable) 84461 Street Address 2851 Park Marina Dr, Suite 200 City Redding State CA Zip Code 96001 Phane Number 530-241-1905	Attorney Name (if applicable) Eric J. Ratinoff Attorney Bar Number (if applicable) 166204 Street Address 401 Watt Avenue
5.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	➤ No Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known)	Filed onMM / DD / YYYY
6.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	No Yes. Who made the earlier filing?	

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

Page 1

Claim Number: 32214 Date Filed: 10/17/2019

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 14

P	art 2: Give Informatio	on A	bout the Claim as of the Date this Claim Form is Filed
7.	What fire is the basis of your claim? Check all that apply.		Camp Fire (2018) North Bay Fires (2017) Ghost Ship Fire (2016) Butte Fire (2015) Other (please provide date and brief description of fire:
8.	What are the loss location(s) where you and/or your family suffered harm? (e.g. home or business address, place of injury, place from which you were evacuated, if different.?	l	Location(s) 1867 Honeyrun Rd, Chico CA 95928
9.	How were you and/or your family harmed?	X	Property Damage (homes, structures, personal property, land, trees, landscaping, and all other property damage)
			Owner Renter Occupant Other (Please specify):
	Check all that apply	X	Personal Injury
			Wrongful Death (if checked, please provide the name of the deceased)
		X	Business Loss/Interruption
		X	Lost wages and earning capacity
l		X	Loss of community and essential services
	•		Agricultural loss
			Other (Please specify):
10	What damages are you and/or your family	X	Economic damages (including replacement cost of damaged property, diminution in value, loss of use, lost inventory, lost profits, and other economic damage)
	claiming/seeking?	X	Non-economic damages (including loss of society and support, loss of consortium, pain and suffering, emotional distress, annoyance and discomfort, and other non-economic damage)
	Check all that apply	X	Punitive, exemplary, and statutory damages
		X	Attorney's fees and litigation costs
		X	Interest
		X	Any and all other damages recoverable under California law
			Other (Please specify):
11	How much is the claim?		
` `			\$(optional)
		X	Unknown / To be determined at a later date

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

Page 2

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 15 of 28

Part 3: Sign Below

The person completing	Check the app	opriate box:				
this proof of claim must sign and date it.	☐ I am the c	editor.				
FRBP 9011(b).	I am the c	reditor's attorne	ey or authorized ager	t.		
If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts	I have examine and correct.	d the information	on in this <i>Proof of Cla</i>	nim and have a reasonable	belief that the information is true	
to establish local rules	I declare under	penalty of perj	ury that the foregoing	is true and correct.		
specifying what a signature is.	Executed on da	ate10/08,	/2019 (mm/dd/yyy	y)		
A person who files a fraudulent claim could be						
fined up to \$500,000,	/s/Russe	l Reiner,	Esq.			
imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both.	Signature					
18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.						
	Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:					
	Name	Russell			Reiner	
	Name	First name		Middle name	Last name	
	Title	Lawyer				
	Company Reiner Slaughter & Frankel Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.					
	Address	2851 Park	Marina Dr, Suite 2	200		
	riudioss	Number	Street			
		Redding		CA	96001	
		City		State	ZIP Code	

530-241-1905

Contact phone

rreiner@reinerslaughter.com

Email

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 16 of 28

EXHIBIT 3

of 28

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION, - and -**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC** COMPANY,

Debtors.

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11 (Lead Case) (Jointly Administered) RECEIVED

FEB 26 2020

PRIME CLERK LLC

Proof of Claim (Fire Claim Related)

Read the instructions before filing this claim form. This form is for tort claimants who have a claim against the Debtors (i.e. PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company) that arose prior to the Debtors filing for bankruptcy (i.e. prior to January 29, 2019) and that arose from, or relates to, a fire.

Do not use this form for non-fire claims. Non-fire tort claimants should use Form 410.

Do NOT file a fraudulent claim. A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152,

Please type or print in the spaces below. Do NOT use red ink or pencil.

2	art 1: Identify the Cl	aim			
1.	Who is the current creditor?	Karen Mata		I Date Stamped Copy Returned	
		Name of the cu	rrent creditor (the person or entity to be paid for th	is claim) [] No Self-Addressed Stamped Envelope [] No Copy Provided	
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	✓ No Yes. From			
3.	Are you filing this claim on behalf of your	□ No	If you checked "Yes", please provi behalf of:	ide the full name of each family member that you are filing on	
	family?	✓ Yes	Jose Mata	Israel Mata	
	A family is a group of two or more people related by birth, marriage, domestic		Gracie Mata (minor)	Leticia Mata	
	partnership, or adoption and residing together. All		Jack Mata (minor)		
	such people are considered as members of one family.		Mollie Mata (minor)		
4.	Where should notices and payments to the	Where should notices to the creditor be sent?		Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)	
	creditor be sent?	Reine	r, Slaughter & Frankel	Name Eric Ratinoff Law Corp Client Trust Account	
	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure		applicable) Russell Reiner	Attorney Name (if applicable) Eric J. Ratinoff	
	(FRBP) 2002(g)	Attorney Bar Nurr	nber (if applicable) 84461	Attorney Bar Number (if applicable) 166204	
		Street Address_2	851 Park Marina Dr, Suite 200	Street Address 401 Watt Avenue	
		City Redding		City Sacramento	
		State CA		State CA	
		Zip Code 96001		Zip Code 95864	
		Phone Number 530-241-1905		916-970-9100	
		Email Address_rreiner@reinerslaughter.com		Email Address nziegler@ericratinoff.com	
5.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	□ No ☑ Yes. Cla	aim number on court claims registry (if know	vn) 32214 Filed on 10/17/2019	
6.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	☑ No □ Yes. Who	o made the earlier filing?		

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

of 28



Page 1

Claim Number: 97055

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered

Part 2:	Give Information	on About the Claim as of the Date this Claim Form is Filed
7. What fi	ire is the basis of aim?	✓ Camp Fire (2018) North Bay Fires (2017) Ghost Ship Fire (2016) Butte Fire (2015) Other (please provide date and brief description of fire:
locatio and/or suffere home of addres place f	re the loss n(s) where you your family d harm? (e.g. or business s, place of injury, rom which you vacuated, if nt.?	Location(s): 1867 Honeyrun Rd, Chico CA 95928
G. House	vere you and/or	Property Damage (homes, structures, personal property, land, trees, landscaping, and all other property
your fa	amily harmed?	damage) V Owner Renter Occupant Other (Please specify): Personal Injury
		Wrongful Death (if checked, please provide the name of the deceased) Business Loss/Interruption Lost wages and earning capacity Loss of community and essential services Agricultural loss Other (Please specify):
and/o	damages are you r your family ng/seeking?	 Economic damages (including replacement cost of damaged property, diminution in value, loss of use, lost inventory, lost profits, and other economic damage) Non-economic damages (including loss of society and support, loss of consortium, pain and suffering,
	all that apply	emotional distress, annoyance and discomfort, and other non-economic damage) V Punitive, exemplary, and statutory damages Attorney's fees and litigation costs Interest Any and all other damages recoverable under California law Other (Please specify):
11. How t	nuch is the claim?	\$ (optional) Unknown / To be determined at a later date

Page 2

Part 3:

Sign Below

The person completing
this proof of claim must
sign and date it.
FRBP 9011(b).

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Check	the	appro	priate	box

I am the creditor.

I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on date 02/24/2020 (mm/dd/yyyy)

/s/Russell Reiner, Esq.

Signature

Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:

Name	Russell		I	Reiner			
Name	First name	Middle name		Last name			
Title	Lawyer						
Company	Reiner, Slaughter & Frankel						
	Identify the corporate se	ervicer as the company if the author	orized agent i	is a servicer.			
Address	2851 Park Marina	a Dr. Suite 200					
Address	2851 Park Marina		economic de la constitución de l				
Address			CA	96001			
Address	Number Street		CA State	96001 ZIP Code			

Page 3

PROOF OF SERVICE

1						
2 3	I am citizen of the United States and employed in Shasta County California; I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within action my business address is 2851 Park Marina Drive, Suite 200, Redding, CA 9600 and, on this date, I served:					
4						
5	PROOF OF CLAIM					
6 7	By transmitting a true copy thereof by facsimile machine to the telefacsimile number shown following the name of each such party.					
8	By hand-delivery to the person(s) set forth below.					
9	X By FedEx 2-day delivery to the person/address set forth below.					
11	By United States Post Office Express Mail overnight delivery to the person/address set forth below.					
12						
13	By United Postal Service (UPS) overnight delivery to the person/address set forth below.					
14 15	By United States Post Office regular delivery to the person/address set forth below.					
16	PG&E Corporation Claims Processing Center					
17	C/O Prime Clerk LLC					
18	850 3 rd Ave, Suite 412 Brooklyn, NY 11232					
19						
20	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.					
21	Executed at Redding, California, on February 24, 2020.					
22						
23	JODÍ PALMER					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 21

2/24/2020

SHIP DATE: 24FEB20 ACTWGT: 0.10 LB CAD: 104349789/INET4220 ORIGIN ID:RDDA (530) 241-1 RUSSELL REINER REINER SLAUGHTER & FRANKEL 2851 PARK MARINA DRIVE SUITE 200 REDDING, CA 96001 UNITED STATES US **BILL SENDER** TO COPRIME CLERK LLC PG&E CORPORATION CLAIMS PROPESSING 850 3RD AVE, SUITE 412 FEB 26 2020 **BROOKLYN NY 11232** REF CAMEPRIME OLERK, LLC WED - 26 FEB 4:30P ** 2DAY **

7778 4197 8633

SA FBTA

After printing this label:

Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned. button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.

11232

EWR

warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.

Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex.com. FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package. Whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover montread, for any new montread, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss.Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$1,000, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items is service. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.

Filed: 07/14/20 Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 22

of 28

EXHIBIT 4

of 28

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,
- and PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Debtors.

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11 (Lead Case) (Jointly Administered) RECEIVED

FEB 26 2020

PRIME CLERK LLC

Proof of Claim (Fire Claim Related)

Read the instructions before filing this claim form. This form is for tort claimants who have a claim against the Debtors (i.e. PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company) that arose prior to the Debtors filing for bankruptcy (i.e. prior to January 29, 2019) and that arose from, or relates to, a fire.

Do not use this form for non-fire claims. Non-fire tort claimants should use Form 410.

Do NOT file a fraudulent claim. A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Please type or print in the spaces below. Do NOT use red ink or pencil.

P	art 1: Identify the Cl	aim				
1.	Who is the current creditor?	Jose Mata		[V] Date Stamped Copy Returned		
		Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim		is claim) [] No Self-Addressed Stamped Envelope [] No Copy Provided		
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	✓ No ✓ Yes. From				
3.	Are you filing this claim on behalf of your	□ No	If you checked "Yes", please provide the full name of each family member that you are filing on behalf of:			
	family? A family is a group of two	✓ Yes	Karen Mata	Israel Mata		
	or more people related by birth, marriage, domestic		Gracie Mata (minor)	Leticia Mata		
	partnership, or adoption and residing together. All		Jack Mata (minor)			
	such people are considered as members of one family.		Mollie Mata (minor)			
4.	Where should notices and payments to the	Where shoul	d notices to the creditor be sent?	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)		
	creditor be sent?	Name Reine	r, Slaughter & Frankel	Name Eric Ratinoff Law Corp Client Trust Account		
	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)		applicable) Russell Reiner	Attorney Name (if applicable) Eric J. Ratinoff Attorney Bar Number (if applicable) 166204		
			iber (if applicable) 84461			
		Street Address_2	851 Park Marina Dr, Suite 200	Street Address 401 Watt Avenue		
		City Redding		Zip Code 95864		
And the suppression of the superior of the sup		State CA				
		Zip Code 96001				
		Phone Number_5	30-241-1905	Phone Number 916-970-9100		
		Email Address_rreiner@reinerslaughter.com		Email Address nziegler@ericratinoff.com		
5.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	□ No □ Yes. Cla	im number on court claims registry (if know	n) 32215 Filed on 10/17/2019 MM / DD / YYYY		
6.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	No Yes. Who	made the earlier filing?			

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

of 28



Page 1

Claim Number: 97052

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20

Entered: 07%1144820911336:4

	art 2: Give Info	ormation Al	pout the Claim as of the Date this Claim Form is Filed			
7.	What fire is the ba your claim? Check all that apply.		Camp Fire (2018) North Bay Fires (2017) Ghost Ship Fire (2016) Butte Fire (2015)			
		-	Other (please provide date and brief description of fire:			
8.	What are the loss location(s) where y and/or your family suffered harm? (e. home or business address, place of in place from which y were evacuated, if different.?	g. njury, /ou	Location(s): 1867 Honeyrun Rd, Chico CA 95928			
9.	How were you and your family harme		Property Damage (homes, structures, personal property, land, trees, landscaping, and all other property damage)			
	Check all that apply		✓ Owner Renter ✓ Occupant Other (Please specify): Personal Injury			
		H	Wrongful Death (if checked, please provide the name of the deceased)			
			Business Loss/Interruption			
		Image: Control of the	Lost wages and earning capacity			
			Loss of community and essential services			
-			Agricultural loss			
			Other (Please specify):			
10	. What damages are you and/or your family claiming/seeking? Check all that apply		Economic damages (including replacement cost of damaged property, diminution in value, loss of use, lost inventory, lost profits, and other economic damage)			
			Non-economic damages (including loss of society and support, loss of consortium, pain and suffering, emotional distress, annoyance and discomfort, and other non-economic damage)			
		✓	Punitive, exemplary, and statutory damages			
		V	Attorney's fees and litigation costs			
		띩	Interest			
		띰	Any and all other damages recoverable under California law			
_			Other (Please specify):			
11	. How much is the c	claim?	\$(optional)			
ĺ		7	Unknown / To be determined at a later date			

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

Page 2

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 25

Part 3: Sign Below							
The person completing	Check the appropriate box:						
this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).	☐ I am the creditor. ☐ I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.						
If you file this claim electronically, FRBP	I have examined the information in this <i>Proof of Claim</i> and have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.						
5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.						
specifying what a signature is.	Executed on date	02/24/2020	_(mm/dd/yyyy)				
A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.	/s/Russell Reiner, Esq.						
	Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:						
	Name	Russell Reiner		einer			
	Name	First name	Middle name		Last name		
	Title	Lawyer					
	Company						
Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer. Address 2851 Park Marina Dr, Suite 200							
	Vadicas	Number Street					
		Redding		CA	96001		
		City		State	ZIP Code		
	Contact phone	530-241-1905		Email	rreiner@reinerslaughter.com		

Proof of Claim (Fire Related)

Page 3

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 26 of 28

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	PROOF OF SERVICE							
2	I am citizen of the United States and employed in Shasta County,							
3 4	California; I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within action my business address is 2851 Park Marina Drive, Suite 200, Redding, CA 96001 and, on this date, I served:							
5	PROOF OF CLAIM							
7	By transmitting a true copy thereof by facsimile machine to the telefacsimile number shown following the name of each such party.							
8	By hand-delivery to the person(s) set forth below.							
9 10	X By FedEx 2-day delivery to the person/address set forth below.							
11	By United States Post Office Express Mail overnight delivery to the person/address set forth below.							
12	By United Postal Service (UPS) overnight delivery to the							
13	person/address set forth below.							
14	By United States Post Office regular delivery to the person/address set forth below.							
15	set forth below.							
16	PG&E Corporation Claims Processing Center							
17	C/O Prime Clerk LLC 850 3 rd Ave, Suite 412							
18	Brooklyn, NY 11232							
19								
20	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.							
21	Executed at Redding, California, on February 24, 2020.							
22	TODINALIOND							
23	JODI PALMER							
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 27

2/24/2020

ORIGIN ID:RDDA (530) 241-19
RUSSELL REINER
REINER SLAUGHTER & FRANKEL
2851 PARK MARINA DRIVE
SUITE 200
REDDING, CA 96001
UNITED STATES US SHIP DATE: 24FEB20 ACTWGT: 0.10 LB CAD: 104349789/INET4220 (530) 241-1905 **BILL SENDER** TO COPRIME CLERK LLC PG&E CORPORATION CLAIM RERECTES ING 850 3RD AVE, SUITE 412 FEB 26 2020 **BROOKLYN NY 11232** REF CAMPIPRIME OUERK, LLC INV PO Fed Ex WED - 26 FEB 4:30P ** 2DAY ** 7778 4197 8633 11232 **EWR** SA FBTA

After printing this label:

Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.

Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on delivery. Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide and the carealt of loss, damage, delay, non-fedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss, Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$1,000, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our Service Guide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8378 Filed: 07/14/20 Entered: 07/14/20 11:36:40 Page 28

ot 28